

The Core Emotion Framework (CEF): A Theoretical Synthesis Integrating Affective Neuroscience, Embodied Cognition, and Strategic Emotional Regulation for Optimized Functioning

1. Contextual Introduction and Academic Positioning

1.1 The Theoretical Imperative: Bridging the Gap between Foundational Research and Applied Practice

The study of human emotion has long been bifurcated between rigorous academic inquiry and accessible, applied methodologies. On one hand, psychological science maintains robust, peer-reviewed models for understanding affect, spanning basic categorical emotions (Basic Emotion Theories, BET) and dimensional frameworks (Core Affect models).¹ These academic models are foundational but often remain conceptually complex for non-specialist application. On the other hand, numerous practical self-help systems are developed to address the widespread demand for personal optimization and emotional mastery. These frameworks, while often highly accessible, frequently lack the conceptual rigor and empirical grounding required for serious research dissemination.²

The Core Emotion Framework (CEF) presents itself as a holistic and simplistic approach

aimed at achieving inner growth and success for the "regular person" by focusing on the identification and optimization of **ten core emotions**.² It promises enhanced efficiency in achieving aspirations through a deeper comprehension of fundamental emotional architecture.³ The framework's objective claims—such as achieving success, happiness, connection, and meaning²—require systematic validation. This white paper serves to provide the necessary conceptual analysis by synthesizing CEF's core principles with validated scientific literature across affective neuroscience, emotion regulation (ER), and cognitive psychology. The strategic goal of this theoretical synthesis is to transition the CEF from an applied practice into a theoretically grounded model that is suitable for empirical investigation.

1.2 Defining the Core Constructs of the Core Emotion Framework (CEF)

The structural integrity of the Core Emotion Framework rests upon three defining constructs that facilitate self-optimization and strategic emotional management.

The Ten Core Emotions

The framework posits that a mastery of its defined ten core emotions is sufficient for comprehensive psychological competence ("you have got it all").² While the specific list of ten emotions is not enumerated within the scope of this analysis, the framework explicitly views these units as "emotional powers" that are considered universal.² The concept of targeting a finite, universal set of emotions necessitates comparison with established emotion typologies, particularly those derived from evolutionary and neurological research, which provides the highest level of conceptual security.

The Tripartite System (Head, Heart, Gut)

Central to the CEF's operational methodology is the utilization of three cognitive and somatic centers: Head, Heart, and Gut. These centers serve as localized points for psychological activity and modulation, guiding specific intentional adjustments referred to as "cycling points".³ The role of the Head typically aligns with rational, analytical processes, the Heart with affective and value-driven decisions, and the Gut with intuition and implicit knowledge.⁴ This tripartite system provides the architectural scaffolding for regulatory actions within the framework.

Core Methodology: Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC)

Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC) is the core active mechanism of the CEF. It is defined as the intentional navigation through various emotional states to achieve specific objectives.³ The process leverages mental visualizations—specifically, internally imagined energetic movements (e.g., clockwise, spiraling) within the Head, Heart, and Gut centers—to intentionally activate or modulate corresponding core emotions. The theoretical coherence of AEC draws upon established psychological principles, including embodied cognition, directed attention, and intentionality, positioning it as a method of self-regulation through somatic and cognitive priming.³

1.3 A Note on Academic Status and Zenodo Dissemination

It is crucial for scholarly transparency to state that the Core Emotion Framework, in its current applied form, holds limited presence in mainstream academic society. This document, therefore, serves as an initial theoretical proposition and conceptual analysis, designed to establish a scholarly basis for the framework. The selection of Zenodo as the dissemination platform aligns with the requirement to establish a persistent identifier (DOI) for the theoretical model and make the underlying data and claims accessible for comprehensive scholarly review.⁵ Adherence to Zenodo's submission standards requires the level of depth, rigor, and comprehensive documentation provided herein, fulfilling

the criteria for submission to a community for review and subsequent publication.⁶

2. Foundational Principles of Core Affect and Emotion Typology

2.1 Contextualizing Emotion: Categorical vs. Dimensional Models

Understanding the scientific categorization of emotion is a prerequisite to analyzing the CEF's claims regarding its ten universal core emotions. The field generally operates along two major axes: categorical and dimensional models. Categorical models, such as Basic Emotion Theories (BET), argue for a small set of universal, biologically based emotions—like those proposed by Ekman and Plutchik—which are believed to be evolutionarily conserved and expressed universally.¹ Conversely, dimensional models (like Core Affect) focus on underlying continua, typically valence (pleasantness) and arousal (activation).

There is a growing consensus among emotion theorists that an integrated theory must account for both biological predispositions and experiential, social factors.⁷ Emotion is recognized as a complex phenomenon influenced by evolutionary history and social environments.¹ This integrative perspective is essential because it allows for the recognition of primal, biologically wired systems while also accommodating the complexity of cognitive elaborations and secondary emotional experiences targeted by applied frameworks like the CEF.

2.2 The Affective Neuroscience (AN) Gold Standard: Panksepp's Primary Emotional Systems (PE Systems)

The most robust academic foundation for the CEF's claim of universal "emotional powers" is found within Affective Neuroscience (AN), particularly the work of Jaak Panksepp.⁸ Panksepp's model is based on detailed cross-species brain research, using methods such as electrical stimulation and pharmacological challenges to identify fundamental, conserved subcortical circuitry responsible for primal affects.⁸ These systems are deeply rooted "tools for survival," largely conserved across mammalian species.⁹

The AN model identifies seven primary emotional systems:

1. **SEEKING:** The drive for exploration and anticipation (positive).
2. **LUST:** The sexual and reproductive drive (positive).
3. **CARE:** The drive for nurturance and bonding (positive).
4. **PLAY:** The system for social bonding and joy (positive).
5. **FEAR:** The mechanism for defense and risk aversion (negative).
6. **RAGE/ANGER:** The system for territoriality and frustration (negative).
7. **PANIC/SADNESS:** The system associated with loss and social distress (negative).⁸

These seven systems provide verifiable, neurobiological correlates, establishing the highest level of empirical support for the idea of fundamental, universally shared emotional units.⁹ Notably, this list excludes affects such as disgust, which Panksepp classified as a sensory affect rather than a primary emotional action system based on his criteria.⁹

2.3 Conceptual Mapping: Postulating the CEF's Ten Emotions

The Core Emotion Framework posits ten core emotions, which functionally exceeds the seven Primary Emotional Systems (PE Systems) defined by Panksepp. This discrepancy suggests that the CEF's functional units are not limited to primal affects but likely represent cognitive elaborations, complex emotional blends, or states derived from the integrated operation of the PE systems.

If the CEF's ten emotions were simply a subset of basic, primal emotions, the framework would primarily involve straightforward activation or suppression. However, the CEF promotes a holistic approach focused on **"balancing contradicting powers"**.² The fact that the framework targets complex phenomena like "meaning," "success," and "connection"² implies that the optimization focuses on secondary, blended, or socially constructed emotional states, which are often the true source of internal complexity and conflict. The framework is thus positioned as a meta-regulatory system designed to manage the behavioral output of the seven foundational, biological drives. For example, the experience of social insecurity, a complex affective state, is a cognitive elaboration stemming from the FEAR and PANIC/SADNESS systems. The CEF aims not just to identify primal FEAR but to teach an individual how to strategically navigate and regulate the resulting insecurity through the ten defined units.

A detailed conceptual alignment can be postulated by mapping the functions of the PE systems to the operational objectives claimed by the CEF.

Conceptual Alignment: CEF Core Emotional Functionality and Affective Neuroscience Systems

Panksepp PE System (Neurobiological Base)	Functional Core (Evolved Tool)	Hypothesized CEF Core Emotion Correlate (Function)	CEF Benefit Claim Linkage
SEEKING	Exploratory drive, anticipation, engagement	Drive / Motivation / Curiosity	Success, Meaning ²
FEAR	Retreat, risk aversion, defense	Caution / Protection / Grounding	Protection ²
RAGE/ANGER	Frustration, territoriality,	Assertiveness / Boundary Setting	Balancing Contradicting

	aggression		Powers ²
PANIC/SADNESS	Loss, social distress, separation	Connection / Empathy / Grief Management	Connection ²
CARE	Nurturance, bonding, attachment	Altruism / Compassion	Connection, Happiness ²
PLAY	Social bonding, joy, physical exertion	Joy / Flexibility / Vitality	Happiness ²
LUST	Sexual drive, reproductive imperative	Passion / Intimacy	Connection ²

By understanding that the CEF's ten emotions likely include functional derivatives of the seven primal systems—such as converting RAGE/ANGER into controlled Assertiveness or PANIC/SADNESS into reflective Grief Management—the framework gains a strong academic anchor. This mapping suggests that optimizing the ten CEF emotions translates directly to optimizing the behavioral expression of the phylogenetically ancient emotional systems.

3. The Architecture of Strategic Emotional Regulation

3.1 Reframing the Tripartite System: Head, Heart, and Gut

The CEF utilizes the ancient psychological division of function into Head (Rational/Logic), Heart (Emotion/Value), and Gut (Intuition/Somatic).⁴ Historically, this division, often traced back to Plato, framed emotion and reason as antagonistic forces, competing for control over decision-making.¹⁰ In this dual-system model, the slow, rational "Head" was seen as overriding the impulsive "Heart".¹⁰

However, modern neuroeconomics and behavioral economics support an "Interactive Influence Model of emotion and cognition," which views emotion not merely as an impediment, but as an adaptive force guiding sound decisions, particularly under uncertainty.¹⁰ In this modern perspective, cognition actively modulates emotion, and the two systems cooperate. The CEF's central philosophy of emotional **optimization** and achieving harmonious inner functioning³ is entirely aligned with this interactive model, moving beyond antagonism to integration.

The Gut Center and Embodied Cognition

The inclusion of the Gut center links the CEF directly to modern Embodied Emotion Theory and the Somatic Marker Hypothesis. The Gut is described as the seat of subconscious and implicit knowledge.⁴ The CEF operationalizes this through a reframe of Embodied Emotion Theory for holistic, body-aware interventions, including:

1. **Sensing (Muscle-Body Feedback):** The process where posture, facial expressions, and movement generate internal micro-tension maps.
2. **Calculating (Body-Mind Integration):** The engine that matches these muscular patterns to core-emotion signatures (e.g., chest expansion linked to joy).³

This mechanism confirms the academic reliance of the CEF on the principle that physiological input is crucial for emotional experience and subsequent regulation. Visceral and somatic feedback provide essential data that the body-mind system uses to

interpret and categorize affective states.

3.2 Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC): A Strategic Meta-Model of Regulation

Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC) is the dynamic application of the CEF designed to modulate and intentionally navigate emotional states.³ This methodology can be systematically compared to established regulatory frameworks, specifically Gross's Process Model of Emotion Regulation, which organizes strategies based on the timeline of the emotional experience.¹¹

Gross's model distinguishes between antecedent-focused strategies (occurring before or during the emotion experience, such as attentional deployment or cognitive change) and response-focused strategies (occurring after the emotion is fully developed, such as response modulation).¹¹

Mapping AEC to Established Strategies

1. **Antecedent-Focused Alignment:** AEC relies heavily on **Directed Attention and Intentionality**.³ The intentional visualization of "energetic movements" (cycling points) within the Head, Heart, and Gut centers is an explicit form of **Attentional Deployment**.¹¹ By concentrating on an internal visualization with the goal of evoking a specific core emotion, the individual actively intervenes at an antecedent stage, shaping the emotional trajectory before it fully develops.
2. **Response-Focused Alignment:** The CEF includes a critical phase called **Deciding (Posture & Gesture Choice)**, which involves intentionally adopting utilitarian modules—such as an expanding posture to spark positive cycles or a constricting stance to calm overwhelm.³ This mechanism directly corresponds to **Response Modulation**, where individuals regulate their emotions by attempting to change

physical components, like facial expressions or physiological arousal, after the emotion has been elicited.¹¹

AEC as an Integrated Somato-Cognitive Strategy

Traditional Emotion Regulation (ER) theory often delineates a linear sequence of strategies.¹¹ The CEF’s AEC methodology, however, functions as a highly integrated somato-cognitive hybrid. It uses an antecedent-focused cognitive strategy (visualization and intention) linked to the Mind-Body Connection to modulate the physical or response components of emotion. This synthesis bypasses the need for prolonged cognitive reappraisal (a slower form of cognitive change) by using somatic priming (imagined or physical movement) to intentionally shift the affective state.³ This approach grants the framework a notable emphasis on **efficiency**, consistent with the optimization goals implied by the framework’s related domains.¹² The intentional utilization of movement rituals (e.g., yoga flow or "power-pose" sequences) further illustrates this goal of achieving rapid cognitive readiness.³

The following table summarizes the conceptual integration:

Comparative Analysis: Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC) vs. Gross’s Process Model of Emotion Regulation

CEF Mechanism (AEC)	Function	Closest Gross’s ER Strategy	Timing & Focus
Adaptive Emotional Cycling	Intentional emotional state navigation via visualization/intention.	Combination of Attentional Deployment & Cognitive Change ¹¹	Holistic, often Antecedent-Focused

Cycling Points (Head, Heart, Gut)	Localized modulation of rational, affective, and visceral input.	Attentional Deployment (Concentration/Distraction) ¹¹	During/Before Emotion Experience
Sensing/Calculating	Interpreting and matching muscle/body feedback to emotional signatures.	Cognitive Change (Reappraisal) / Body-Mind Integration ³	Interpretation Phase
Deciding (Posture/Gesture Choice)	Intentionally adopting physical stances (e.g., expanding posture).	Response Modulation (Physiological/Behavioral Change) ¹¹	After Emotion Elicitation

3.3 The Role of Intentionality and the "Mirror Technique"

Consciously directing attention and employing explicit intentionality to evoke a specific emotional state is the cognitive driving force behind AEC.³ This focus on intentional self-regulation is further amplified by the framework's unique application of the **"Mirror Technique."** This technique advocates for internal reflection and the mirroring of each core emotion separately, explicitly rejecting reliance on external role models.²

The functional significance of this technique lies in shifting the psychological locus of control entirely inward. In psychological terms, external modeling relies on social learning and comparison. By emphasizing non-comparative, self-referential validation, the Mirror Technique fundamentally promotes greater **Agency**—the capacity for an individual to take ownership of thoughts and actions and improve their lives regardless of external circumstances.¹³ By engaging in isolated self-reflection on each core emotion,

the individual is compelled to acknowledge and integrate internal, potentially polarized, emotional states. This process of integrating opposite desires ("balancing contradicting powers" ²) is crucial for building psychological stability and managing emotional complexity, echoing principles used in therapeutic approaches that address predominant polarity in mood disorders.¹⁴ The practice promotes personal responsibility by requiring the individual to see and optimize their own emotional resources, rather than seeking blame or external solutions.²

4. CEF's Explanatory Power in Personality and Behavioral Dynamics

The Core Emotion Framework claims enhanced explanatory power for established personality systems.³ This suggests CEF is not merely a self-help tool, but a potential mechanism for intervening in and modulating the expression of psychological traits that influence functioning and professional outcomes.

4.1 CEF as a Mechanism for Enhanced Emotional Intelligence (EI)

Emotional Intelligence (EI), defined broadly across ability, mixed, and trait models ¹⁵, is critical for leadership and professional success.¹⁶ The Mayer-Salovey-Caruso ability model identifies four key branches: perception, use, understanding, and management of emotions.¹⁷ CEF provides precise, structured tools—AEC, the Tripartite System, and the Ten Core Emotions—that directly operationalize the *management* and *use* components of this model.

By training individuals to ignite and detangle core emotions using phrases and visualizations ³, CEF facilitates explicit skill development in emotional self-regulation. High proficiency in AEC techniques should, therefore, translate directly into higher EI scores, particularly in areas like emotional management and empathy.¹⁷ The professional

benefits claimed by the framework, such as improved connection, better decision-making under stress, and superior client relationship outcomes², are classic markers of high Emotional Quotient (EQ).¹⁸ The framework's goal of efficient optimization is fundamentally about optimizing the emotional processing required for collaboration, leadership, and success in various professional settings.¹²

4.2 Integrating CEF with Dimensional Personality Systems (The Big Five)

The Big Five personality traits (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Openness to Experience, and Conscientiousness) are considered relatively stable psychological predispositions.¹⁹ Affective Neuroscience research provides an important link between these traits and underlying primal emotional systems. For instance, high scores on FEAR and SADNESS (from the ANPS) correlate strongly with Neuroticism, while SEEKING and PLAY correlate with Extraversion.⁹

The CEF offers a practical approach to modulating the functional output of these stable traits. A central claim of the framework is achieving greater "mobility on all their Core Emotions"³ and strategically optimizing inner resources. For an individual characterized by high Neuroticism—a disposition toward anxiety and negative affect driven by high activity in the FEAR and SADNESS systems—CEF training provides the AEC mechanism to intentionally modulate the intensity or duration of these core emotions.

This dynamic regulation means that the individual is not fundamentally altering their underlying Big Five trait, but is significantly improving their **emotional flexibility** and **self-control**. This process improves the behavioral manifestation of the trait. For example, improved emotional management increases aspects of Conscientiousness, a trait strongly correlated with positive behavioral outcomes such as academic success, financial stability, and reduced delinquency.¹⁹ The CEF thus acts as a dynamic regulator, providing a structured methodology to convert low-utility trait expression (e.g., anxiety-induced avoidance) into high-utility behavior (e.g., thoughtful risk assessment).

4.3 CEF and Archetypal Motivation Systems (Enneagram, MBTI)

The Core Emotion Framework asserts that its structured model provides enhanced explanatory power for established motivational and typological systems like the Enneagram and Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI).³ These systems primarily describe persistent patterns of behavior and motivation often rooted in an "ego response to a core fear and desire".²⁰

The value proposition of the CEF lies in offering the *process layer*—the actionable mechanism—that is often missing in static personality typing systems. While the Enneagram identifies *what* the core fear is, CEF provides the *how* to regulate the corresponding core emotion. By restoring emotional mobility, CEF enables the individual to move beyond rigid, type-specific ego fixations.

This process provides a structured intervention, addressing the challenges found in previous research. Studies investigating the link between Enneagram training and emotional intelligence, for example, have yielded mixed statistical results, even though qualitative feedback strongly indicated positive effects on self-awareness and empathy.²¹ The structure of AEC—with its explicit, replicable steps using visualization and intentionality—could provide the objective methodology necessary to demonstrate clearer statistical relationships between understanding motivation (typology) and the capacity for strategic emotional change (regulation). The CEF offers the operational tools to facilitate the internal shifts that personality training aims to achieve.

5. Operationalizing Success: Measurable Outcomes and Future Validation

To transition the Core Emotion Framework from a highly promising applied system to a validated academic model, its abstract claims of success, happiness, connection, and

meaning² must be translated into rigorous, measurable empirical variables.

5.1 Translating Abstract Claims into Empirical Variables

The comprehensive benefits of CEF can be operationalized through established psychological and neurophysiological markers:

A. Performance and Efficiency Metrics

The optimization claim of the CEF, consistent with the philosophy behind *efficiency.ink*¹², translates into objective metrics such as:

- **Performance:** Measured through task execution speed, error rates, and objective goal attainment aligned with organizational or personal milestones.
- **Efficiency:** Quantified by reduced decision latency under pressure and mitigation of cognitive load related to emotional distress. This could be benchmarked against existing frameworks designed to improve organizational well-being, such as models for enhancing joy in work.²²
- **Resilience:** Reduced frequency and duration of workplace conflict and reduced self-reported measures of burnout.

B. Well-being and Affective States

- **Happiness and Meaning:** These concepts must be differentiated into **hedonic well-being** (positive/negative affect balance) and **eudaimonic well-being** (life satisfaction, sense of purpose).²³

- **Emotional Balance:** Measured via self-report scales assessing emotional clarity and non-judgmental acceptance, reflecting the framework's emphasis on balancing contradictory powers.²

C. Social and Psychological Agency

- **Connection and Empathy:** Measured via validated indices of empathy (e.g., affective and cognitive empathy) and perceived relationship quality.²¹
- **Protection and Responsibility:** Assessed through measures of psychological resilience and boundary setting ability, reflecting the CEF's claim to protect against external toxicity.²
- **Agency:** Given the CEF's emphasis on taking ownership and internal validation (the Mirror Technique), efficacy should be tested via measures of perceived control, internal locus of control, and capacity for agentic living.¹³

5.2 Recommendations for Empirical Validation

To establish the scientific validity of the Core Emotion Framework, a phased, rigorous research program is recommended, utilizing established methodologies in cognitive science and affective neuroscience.

Phase 1: Psychometric Development and Reliability

Before testing outcomes, the internal mechanism must be measurable. This phase involves creating a standardized Core Emotion Framework Assessment Tool (CEF-AT). This instrument must reliably measure an individual's perceived functional mobility,

balance, and utilization capacity across the ten core emotional dimensions postulated by the framework. Rigorous validation procedures, including factor analysis, internal consistency testing, and test-retest reliability, are required to confirm the psychometric soundness of the model.

Phase 2: Process Validation (Mechanism Testing)

This phase is designed to validate the *how* of the CEF—specifically, the mechanism of Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC).

- **Neurocognitive Correlates:** Research must utilize advanced neuroimaging techniques, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or electroencephalography (EEG), to observe neural activity during the execution of AEC. The hypothesis suggests that AEC, as a somato-cognitive hybrid, should elicit simultaneous or closely timed activation in both subcortical areas (linked to Panksepp's PE systems, confirming the primal emotional basis) and prefrontal cortical areas (linked to cognitive control and regulation, confirming the strategic nature).⁸
- **Embodied Effects:** Physiological validation is essential for the Gut center and Embodied Cognition component. This includes measuring changes in physiological markers such as Galvanic Skin Response (GSR), Heart Rate Variability (HRV), and objective posture analysis corresponding to the *Sensing* and *Deciding* modules of the CEF.³

Phase 3: Outcome and Comparative Efficacy Studies

The final stage requires conducting randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to assess the efficacy and efficiency of the CEF.

- **Comparative Efficacy:** CEF training should be compared against established emotional interventions, such as traditional Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) techniques or Mindfulness-Based Interventions (MBIs).
- **Hypothesized Outcomes:** It is hypothesized that, due to its emphasis on efficient, intentional modulation, CEF training may lead to faster acquisition of emotional management skills, higher objective emotional flexibility scores (e.g., measured by the MSCEIT), and demonstrable improvements in high-stress, real-time decision-making contexts when compared to control groups.

6. Conclusion: The Path Forward – From Framework to Field

The Core Emotion Framework (CEF), while currently disseminated as an accessible tool for personal growth, possesses a structure that is highly consonant with several advanced, empirically validated psychological models. The theoretical analysis presented confirms that the CEF's foundational claims are supported by overlapping academic data. The framework's proposed ten core emotions can be conceptually mapped onto the evolutionarily conserved Primary Emotional Systems of Affective Neuroscience, lending biological credence to its claim of universality.⁹ Furthermore, the operational heart of the CEF—Adaptive Emotional Cycling (AEC) and the Tripartite System—is a demonstrably sophisticated integration of Embodied Cognition and the established strategic mechanisms defined by process models of Emotion Regulation.³

The CEF's ability to promote an internal locus of control via the Mirror Technique and provide structured tools for regulating affective output positions it as a promising mechanism for improving Emotional Intelligence and dynamically managing the behavioral expression of stable personality traits.⁹

This comprehensive theoretical white paper serves to establish the necessary conceptual scaffolding for the CEF. By making this analysis available on Zenodo, the framework invites rigorous scholarly review and facilitates the crucial next step: moving from theoretical plausibility to empirical validation. Future success hinges on dedicated

research to develop robust psychometric tools and conduct controlled studies that confirm the efficacy and efficiency of Adaptive Emotional Cycling, thereby transforming the Core Emotion Framework into an evidence-based system for optimizing human capabilities.

Works cited

1. Core Affect and the Psychological Construction of Emotion | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed October 29, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325671474_Core_Affect_and_the_Psychological_Construction_of_Emotion
2. Optimize Your Capabilities | Everything is Already Inside There, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://optimizeyourcapabilities.com>
3. OptimizeYourCapabilities.pro, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://optimizeyourcapabilities.pro>
4. The Three Musketeers of Decision-Making: Head, Heart & Gut | Beverly Landais, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://www.beverlylandais.co.uk/blog/the-three-musketeers-of-decision-making-head-heart-gut>
5. Documentation Requirements - Zenodo, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://zenodo.org/records/7786310/files/Webinar-Q&A.pdf?download=1>
6. Submit for review - Help | Zenodo, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://help.zenodo.org/docs/share/submit-for-review/>
7. Basic Emotions: A Reconstruction - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4840933/>
8. Selected Principles of Pankseppian Affective Neuroscience - Frontiers, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.01025/full>
9. Affective Neuroscience Theory and Personality: An Update - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7219919/>
10. Follow the heart or the head? The interactive influence model of emotion and cognition - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4422030/>
11. Process Model of Emotion Regulation – Psychology of Human Emotion: An Open Access Textbook - The Pennsylvania State University, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://psu.pb.unizin.org/psych425/chapter/process-model-of-emotion-regulation/>
12. efficiency.ink, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://efficiency.ink>
13. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL BUILDING BLOCKS OF AGENCY - Human Flourishing Lab, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://humanflourishinglab.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/HFL-The-Psychological-Building-Blocks-of-Agency.pdf>

14. Predominant Polarity for Enhanced Phenotyping and Personalized Treatment of Bipolar Disorder: A Narrative Review on Recent Findings - PMC, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12003578/>
15. Emotional intelligence - Wikipedia, accessed October 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emotional_intelligence
16. Emotional Intelligence for Business Leaders: A Complete Guide - Tech Help Canada, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://techhelp.ca/emotional-intelligence-in-business/>
17. Enhancing Emotional Intelligence: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Interventions, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://www.ppublishing.org/archive/publication/1391-enhancing-emotional-intelligence-theoretical->
18. Emotional Intelligence Frameworks, Charts, Diagrams & Graphs - Positive Psychology, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://positivepsychology.com/emotional-intelligence-frameworks/>
19. Big Five Personality Traits: The 5-Factor Model of Personality - Simply Psychology, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://www.simplypsychology.org/big-five-personality.html>
20. Enneagram typologies and healthy personality to psychosocial stress: A network approach - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9731769/>
21. "Leadership, Emotional Intelligence, and the Enneagram: A Study of the " by David Weafer - TopSCHOLAR - Western Kentucky University, accessed October 29, 2025, https://digitalcommons.wku.edu/stu_hon_theses/943/
22. IHI Framework for Improving Joy in Work, accessed October 29, 2025, https://www.ihl.org/sites/default/files/IHIWhitePaper_FrameworkForImprovingJoyInWork.pdf
23. Examining the Structure of Negative Affect Regulation and Its Association With Hedonic and Psychological Wellbeing - Frontiers, accessed October 29, 2025, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01592/full>